

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/796,890	03/09/2004	Kunio Nakayama	791_235	2913	
25191	7590 10/13/2004		EXA	EXAMINER	
BURR & BROWN PO BOX 7068			SPITZEF	SPITZER, ROBERT H	
	NY 13261-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		Y	1724		

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i></i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/796,890	NAKAYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Robert H. Spitzer	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	March 2004.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma	•	ts is
Disposition of Claims	:		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-9 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3,4 and 10-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin			
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2004</u> is/are:		·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	nts have been received. nts have been received in a ority documents have been	Application No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.	
Attachment(s)			-
) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/9/04 & 5/13/04. 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•
Potent and Trademark Office			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1,2 and 5-9 are allowed.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3,4,25-27 and 30-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 is indefinite because there is no direct antecedent basis for the recitation of "said silica sol solution containing silica". Claim 4 is indefinite because it recites "hydrothermal synthesis" without any correlation to the identical recitation in claim 1. Claim 25 is indefinite because it recites "a mixed gas containing carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄)" without any correlation to "a mixed gas containing at least two types of gas components" already recited in claim 24. Claim 26 is indefinite because in line 5, there is no direct antecedent basis for the recitation of "said at least one type of gas component". Claim 27 is indefinite because it depends from indefinite claim 26. Claim 30 is indefinite because it recites "a mean pore diameter" without any correlation to the identical recitation in claim 28. Claim 31 is indefinite because in line 5, there is no direct antecedent basis for the recitation of "said obtained raw material solution". Claims 32-36 are indefinite because they depend from indefinite claim 31.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

Art Unit: 1724

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 5. Claims 10-24 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by the DDR zeolite membrane of Olson (6,488,741), wherein in Examples 9,9A and 10 is shown a DDR (ZSM-58) zeolite membrane which is formed on an inorganic substrate and is used to separate gas components from each other. The gas permeance values of the dependent claims do not change the DDR membrane structure and are thus given no patentable weight with respect to these membrane article and apparatus claims.
- 6. Claims 3,4 and 31-36 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. Claim 25 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The remaining references listed on the PTO-892 and those cited on the PTO-1449 show art of interest.
- 9. Applicants' response to this Office action must also include the following editorial changes: on Fig. 3, a description must be provided for numbers 106,111 and 112; page 3, line 7, "power" should be "powder"; page 5, line 15, "be" should be inserted after "effectively"; page 14, line 12, "lease" should be "least", and in

Application/Control Number: 10/796,890

Art Unit: 1724

line 16, "from" should be inserted after "different"; page 15, line 24, "the a" should be either "the" or "a"; page 17, line 6, "has" should be either "having" or "that has"; page 29, line 17, "likely" should be "like"; page 34, line 21, "at" should be inserted before "least", and in line 22, "selected from selected from" should be "selected from"; page 41, line 6, "is" should be "are"; page 43, line 11, "at" should be inserted before "least"; page 63, lines 16 and 22, "Tables" should be "Table", and in line 26, "depends" should be "depend"; page 64, line 3, "Tables" should be "Table"; page 70, line 25, "of" should be canceled; page 73, line 5, "membranes" should be "membrane"; page 76, line 17, "embodiments" should be "embodiment"; and on page 77, line 1, "separation factor" should be deleted as the same expression appears on the last line of page 76.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H. Spitzer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from (5:30AM-4:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 12, 2004

Robert H. Spitzer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

October 12, 2004